

PPA Board Meeting Minutes  
May 9, 2026 ~ Papakeeche Building

1. Call to Order ~ **Shane** 8:20 AM

|         |                                     |                                    |
|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2. Roll | President - Shane Wallace           | Vice President- Dan Connell        |
|         | Treasurer- Pat Ebetino              | Secretary- Linda Minnick           |
|         | District 1- Vacant                  | District 5A- (NP) Marshall Minnick |
|         | District 2- Bernie Ebetino          | District 6- Jim Whitehead          |
|         | District 3- Vacant                  | District 7- Sherry Doherty         |
|         | District 4- Mark Laurent            | District 8&9- (NP) Darby Miller    |
|         | District 5- (NP) Shannon Therriault | (NP) = Not Present                 |

Guests

Paul Erst D6, Joseph Doherty D7, Jim Bulin D4, Jody Clay D1, JW Kompagne D9

3. Secretary's Report- **Linda**

A. April 11, 2026 Minutes sent via email 5/6/26

**\*\*Motion** to Accept April 11, 2026 Minutes as written- **Bernie**

Second- **Marshall**

**Approved**

4. Treasurer's Report- **Pat** sent via email 5/7/26

A. As of April 30, 2026

|                       |    |            |
|-----------------------|----|------------|
| Money Market-         | \$ | 68,416.07  |
| CD (May 2025)-        |    | 53,408.96  |
| Checking-             |    | 17,057.48  |
| Total Current Assets- | \$ | 142,117.51 |
| a. Legal Fees-        | \$ | 840.00     |
| b. Taxes-             | \$ | 7,529.14   |
| c. Lake, Tier II-     | \$ | 2,000.00   |

**\*\*Motion** to Accept April 2026 Financial Statements - **Sherry**

Second - **Mark**

**Approved**

B. Dues

- a. 11 remain unpaid
- b. Letters sent as of 5/1- \$50 late fee, 2% interest
- c. Any not received by 6/13 sent to attorney for collection

C. CPA

- a. should hear by early next week

**\*\*Motion** to approve the 2027 budget as presented by **Pat- Sherry**

Second - **Mark**

**Approved**

5. Lake Maintenance

A. Dam/Levee- **Linda**

- a. Lake level -0.35' or -4.20" from the top of the box elevation of 867.50' or 867.15', highest level April 3- +3.36" above the box
- b. Lake surface temperature 55 degrees, Rain total for April 5.52", May- 0.92"

- c. Replaced the 9" log 5/7/26, cleaned apron, baffle blocks, apron toe drain outlets
- B. Wetland-
  - a. Cut some Willow, Mulberry, Cottonwood- still a lot of Willow
- C. Linda/Marshall will be gone 6/4-9 need someone to be responsible for operation
  - a. Paul- will keep an eye on level/rain amount he will call Shane if adjustment is pending
  - b. Mark- available later of those days
  - c. Task list passed around, ladder & walkway boards will be left in the building
- 6. Lake Chemical, Weed Study/Treatment, Water Testing, Building, PPA Grounds, Harvester, Chemical Boat, Pier Quotes- **Shane/Pat**
- A. Tier II Weed Survey 4/27 Aquatic Control, Treatment-
  - a. Full shoreline not needed, Recommends Aquathol K early treatment of CurlyLeaf Pondweed, sent map/ most of perimeter + entire Flat Belly Bay (apx. 30 acres)
  - b. Cost \$7,750.00 + tax
  - c. also Recommends mid-summer Starry Stonewort survey and treatment as required

**\*\*Motion** Accept preliminary Treatment Plan presented by Aquatic Control- **Bernie**

Second - **Mark**

- a. **Shane**- Aquatic Control recommendation this year is early treatment of CurlyLeaf Pondweed (areas shown in map) & shoreline areas near plus interior of Flat Belly Bay & midsummer survey and treatment of Starry Stonewort (both invasives)
- b. **Sherry**- Concerns as to last year's treatment approach; Water clarity, bottom debris, odor, weeds outside of shoreline treatment area
- c. Harvester use- **Shane**- a lot of money thrown at cutting somebody's grass, **Pat**- could use but need operator, **Jim**- dead set against using it, **Sherry**- use should be included in discussion, **Bernie**- causes cut debris to float to his shore making a constant big mess, didn't happen last year

**Approved- 5, No- 2**

- B. Pier Quotes (at the ramp)- **Pat**
  - a. Salyer seems reasonable at \$3,700 for aluminum stringers + poly deck at 2x 12' sections & 2 sets of posts
  - b. **Mark**- consider all aluminum for lighter weight
  - c. asked for other quotes- no response, contact Wolf
- 7. Old Business
  - A. Review Proposed Bylaw updates- **Pat**
    - a. Shannon mentioned but hasn't submitted proposed new additions(?)
    - b. Amendment based on Indy firm suggestion
    - c. Sent to Snyder for legal approval- 1. Articles of Inc., 2. Covenants- both unchanged except for typo fixes- no response. Until he responds work on ->
    - d. Bylaw updates (be specific)
      - i. Nuisance properties (Sec. 1(D))
      - ii. Shane sent proposed fish requirements Bylaw
      - iii. Article 1 Sec 2 removed dollar amounts- maybe add, must be paid in full by 4/1
    - e. **Mark**- Articles, Covenants, Bylaws all approved as is by membership- first, then make updates? Vote 1- only rules etc., Vote 2- str?
    - f. **Shane**- Board agrees no changes to Articles of Inc. & Covenants (no vote needed) record with State & County. Bylaws language all over the place those need changes.
    - g. **Pat**- we know problems with wording on some Bylaws
    - h. **Sherry**- no reason to not work on those
    - i. **Linda**- Snyder did not say make changes, correct stuff. He said clean it up, clarify.

- j. **Shane-** We have talked over and over about changing the Bylaws and now we don't really want to fix it. Puts us right back at the starting point. We're not trying to manipulate it into somebody's favor just making it cleanly understandable going forward. **Linda-** In my opinion that's not what's happening on some of this. **Pat-** You're saying we have literally changed the intent of some of these? **Linda-** Yes **Pat-** Which ones? **Linda-** (H) Shane wanted to remove everything except for cutting and elimination of weeds in the Lake. **Shane-** That whole thing boils down to the authority of PPA to take over another private property and expect the PPA to be able to enforce that fee upon the lot owner without the legal rights to do that. **Linda-** That's the rule. For instance Shannon keeps saying anything that has to do with land use has to be a Covenant. Mr. Snyder says because of the way the PPA is setup, you can put your things in the Bylaws because our Articles say if you are in the Plat of PPA you are subject to all the rules. It doesn't matter what you call them. We have a rule that says, if you don't do it then the PPA will do it. **Bernie-** The problem is you have a woods and I have a woods if you say someone has to remove a certain noxious plant then you have to remove every one from your woods. **Linda-** This is about lawn care. **Shane-** We are rebirthing exactly what we went through at the last meeting. In order to keep it as it is it's going to have to be changed. It's not enforceable as it's written and the Board doesn't have any authority to enforce it. If you're gonna take that kind of control as the Board then you're gonna have to be very specific on exactly what you want to do with that piece of property as the PPA. That's our problem. We don't have that. So either we rewrite that whole thing and be very specific about what it is we want or how you maintain your backyard that's gonna need to be written there plain and simple. **Linda-** You're talking about just throwing that stuff out instead of making it more specific. I think that people have bought property after having read this thinking, like Jim, that he's not gonna have a forest grow up next to his nice front yard. People who bought houses and read this are expecting the PPA to uphold that.
- k. **Jim-** Why did we eliminate the ability of each District to form their own rules? **Bernie-** If we are an Association we shouldn't be going by different rules. **Linda-** That's why we are in Districts. That's not unusual. **Jim-** I don't agree with that. We basically eliminated things we don't like that are problems. We'll just scratch that off. **Bernie-** I'm the one that took that out of there. If each District came up with their own rules and regulations then the PPA is responsible to try to make sure each District does all this stuff? That would be impossible. **Linda-** It's been 100 years! **Pat-** We don't know when that went into effect. I don't believe it's been 100 years ago. We have to treat everybody the same. **Shane-** In keeping that, What is the positive thing that is going to come from that? What's the purpose of that? What is the PPA going to gain from keeping that? **Linda-** Like how you maintain your road (Jim, Hiawatha). That's a District decision. It doesn't involve the Board what you have been doing. **Shane-** What's the purpose of these Bylaws and what's the purpose of us trying to correct them now going forward? We know we have to do something. At some point we are going to have to come to an agreement on how we get that done. That one Article if you feel we need to keep it then keep it. What's it going to hurt? **Jim-** The more you change the less likely you are to get it changed.
- l. **Sherry-** In my mind, we were to clarify. Not remove. **Jim-** I would like it to stay in there so maybe some future Board will have the (!\*) to take care of this stuff. There are going to be changes in the Bylaws at a certain point in time, probably. I think the less we change now, if we just clean it up and clarify the words. I think that is what we are supposed to do.

- m. **Dan-** Mine was the Officers section and we changed that one to; The only 2 offices that can be held at the same time by 1 person are those of Secretary and Treasurer, because it made sense but that is a major change not just clarifying. **Sherry-** When it comes time to send the changes, if we make a lot of changes we are going to get dinged, I believe. **Dan-** I think the concern was ambiguity, what do they mean by that? Darby made the point, to you it might be a weed to me it's a native flower. I agree the less we change the better.
  - n. **Pat-** We keep hearing you guys are not enforcing the rules that we have. I think it is an attempt to have something we could enforce.
  - o. **Sherry-** To the weed thing. I did say something to a District Member last year because I did have another Member come to me with a concern. I said, they are 4' tall. There were snakes and animals in them, that the nextdoor neighbor was concerned with that. Was there anything she could do? She was frustrated with me but she did something. It has it's place.
  - p. **Pat-** 1) We combined (F) & (G) because they are both about building/structures.  
2) We moved the Line in (H) about (D) into (D).
  - q. **Sherry-** What happened to the original 4 questions that went to Snyder in January and his opinion on what is or is not legal?
  - r. **Dan-** Are we violating any IN law? Is it sufficiently written so it's enforceable?
- B. **Pat** starts back at the beginning of suggested changes-
- a. Article I Membership Section 2- Remove dollar amounts for dues? -- YES
  - b. Article II Officers Section 1- Change to- The only 2 offices that can be held at the same time by 1 person are those of Secretary and Treasurer. -- YES
  - c. Article II Officers Section 2- Change- Audit to- Conduct a Financial Review of. -- YES
  - d. Article IV Meetings Section 3- Change he to- he/she -- YES
  - e. Article IV Meetings Section 3- Change specific to- been specified (typo) -- YES
  - f. Article V Rules and Regulations Section 1 (A)- remove- The following has been copied from the original Papakeechee Corporation Deed: -- YES
  - g. Article V Rules and Regulations Section 1 (C)- remove 'or nature' -- YES
  - h. Article V Rules and Regulations Section 1 (D) combine (D) & (part (H))- It shall be the responsibility of each PPA Member to keep their property in good, safe, sanitary condition so that it will not be a nuisance to any of the other property owners or a hazard to the community.  
If a property Owner fails to accept this responsibility, pollutes the Lake, permits the growth of noxious weeds, etc., on his property or in any way maintains a nuisance, any PPA Member observing these conditions shall report them to the Board of Directors for necessary corrective action.  
If the property owner fails to comply with the regulations in this Section, the Directors shall arrange for corrections at the owner's expense.-- YES\*  
\*Ask Snyder to suggest legal wording needed for enforcement?-- YES
  - i. Article V Rules and Regulations Section 1 (F) & part of (G)- combine -- YES
    - i. (F) Before constructing or moving a building on any lot, the approval of the Board of Directors must be secured as to type and location. No boathouses shall be constructed on the Lakefront.
    - ii. Article V Rules and Regulations Section 1 (G)- Remaining (G) as shown-- YES  
(G) Each District may formulate building restrictions and building lines for such District, and when same are formulated, the Directors shall see that they are enforced.

- j. Article V Rules and Regulations Section 1 (H)- move to (D)- If the property owner fails to comply with the regulations in this Section, the Directors shall arrange for corrections at the owner's expense.-- YES

Remaining (H)- The Board of Directors shall make such provisions as they deem advisable for the cutting and elimination of the weeds in the Lake and small brush shall be cut on all lots and along roads.-- YES

- k. Article VI Amendment of Bylaws Section (A)- add- by USPS & Email at least 30 days in advance of such properly called meetings. – YES

=> This discussion ended without including Section (B), Section (B) will need to be updated to be consistent with the changes made to Section (A)

Article VI Amendment of Bylaws Section (B)-

- i. Change- mail a copy- to- by USPS & Email
- ii. Change- must be mailed by the Secretary at the same time- to- must be sent by the Secretary at the same time. <=

C. PPA Files kept in Corson's garage- **Pat**

- a. Presented an email to go to Jeremy Corson requesting a date for pick-up (included)
  - i. To be sent signed- Board of Directors

**\*\*Motion** to send the email as presented- **Sherry**

Second- **Jim**

**Approved**

D. Short Term Rental discussion (legal counsel advised, in discussions)- **Pat**

- a. Letter to the Membership
  - i. Original timing with the Pow Wow, didn't happen, wait on Snyder update
  - ii. Revisions made (Mark), Member concern with Pow Wow Presidential statement
  - iii. Will need Snyder approval, take letter first, make appt. for Bylaws

8. New Business

A. Consult w/ Aquatic Control Wes Goldsmith for fish survey, fish stocking, natural/artificial fish habitat & proposed Bylaw- **Shane**

- a. Sent previous Fish Study to Wes- recommends a current study be done
- b. **Shane**- emailed proposed Bylaw (see included file)
- c. **Mark**- has concerns w/ Shane's proposal (also included)

**\*\*Motion** to approve the Aquatic Control Fish Analysis Survey at \$2,900.00 to include water quality tests at an additional \$110.00- **Sherry**

Second- **Mark**

**Approved**

- d. **Shane**- Bylaw proposal is about securing funds. Fish propagation is already in the Articles but has never happened in 44 years. Never will happen unless the Board is forced to do it. Could have initial donations of \$2,000.

- e. **Pat**- will consult with CPA on integrating donations into book keeping

9. Guest Comments

A. Joe Doherty- Curious about why the Board thinks they can control what we build on our property but not enforce common courtesy rules?

- a. **Shane**- The building requirements all fall to the County. The PPA doesn't really have much foothold on what you can and can't do so everything falls back to the County-enforcement. Joe- But it is a Bylaw that you cannot build until you come to the Board for approval. **Linda**- I think instead of just throwing that out and saying we don't want to deal with that, that's where we need to come together and set what are our ideas for the right place. How close should they build to the Lake? What do we agree on? Joe- If

there are County rules then just allow the County to do it's thing and allow us to do our thing with the county.

- b. Jim Bulin D4- I gotta go in with him because I need to rebuild a shed. I'm dealing with the County now because I need a variance. But do I need permission from the Board before I actually do that? **Shane-** It says you do. **Linda-** You have to come and let us know what's going on. I don't see where it's ever been said don't do something. Jim- How much of it has to be approved? I'm building basically a boardwalk and stairs down to my pier from my house so I need to get your approval for that and if I do what do you need, pictures, drawings? **Linda-** Is it going up to the Lake? Jim- It's going to my pier. I've already got stairs going onto my property. I could go ahead and do it and argue later but I don't want to do it that way. **Shane-** It's a very good question. I think the struggle is over the years it's been, it's the County's set backs and it's the County's rules. There's a lot to be said for that but from the Board's stand point we want some kind of oversight of what goes on at least on the shoreline most importantly because it effects what the PPA owns. Joe- just curious **Shane-** That's a good conversation. I hadn't looked at it from that point. Joe- Are you guys gonna approve that shed? Why do you have the authority to do that? I like sheds. I want to build a new one. I've got 3. I mean, I'll build another one. Under what legal authority do you have beyond what the County can do? **Linda-** Because it's a rule. It all falls back to that. Joe- So are the noxious weeds. But you guys say that's not enforceable. **Linda-** We're not saying that.
- c. Jim Bulin D4- When I build this ramp down there I won't be able to get my lawn mower on the other side. I want to let the other side go back to nature basically. The Nursery in town will control what to put there as far as the shrubbery. Natural but no thorns, no berries so controlled. Is that allowed? **Shane-** It's a valid point. **Sherry-** We always say we go by what the County says. **Pat-** Follow the County guidelines. **Sherry-** If it's a seawall we discuss it. I currently have steps going to my pier and they're not 1' back. They go over the 1' line. So the statement is, what is the purpose that I have to come in front of you and give you a line sketch of what I'm gonna do because it's gonna go over that 1' mark. **Mark-** We need to enter a discussion on what's the procedure for submission and approval. He raises a good point. We aren't going to solve it today. **Linda-** One of the things with the County is they give their variances and they're not consistent with them. Here we go back to Kratzer's shed. We didn't have anything to say to them just what the County says. They let that shed set 12' from the water's edge. Is that ok? Does the PPA let everyone set a shed 12' from the water's edge and not have a concern? Or would 20' really be better? If PPA had an established rule you could tell everybody the same thing.
- d. Joe- If Snyder has never gotten the Bylaws, How did he discuss with you guys str? **Pat-** He addressed str as it came up with Covenant 3. There was apparently an additional hour long conversation where no Bylaws were given to him. **Pat-** I don't know what was given to him at the first meeting. When I got to the meeting that I attended He had some stuff. Did he have PPA's actual book? No. Has he had it in the past? He has, because I took them to him before. That's when he answered, they're a mess. Were they handed to him? I don't know. But I do know he did have a copy of them. He has a file for us. When I put all of the recent stuff together I know I did not include a copy. **Linda-** My concern with that conversation is why did that get brought up when it did? **Shane-** It's in reference for us as this Board continually goes back to square 1 every time that's always based on str. We've had conversation and conversation about trying to do something on str so asking those questions at that time stemmed us going right back to trying to get back to str. That's the point of that. **Linda-** I understand where you're coming from

there. I really took offense at it. I think that it was presented in the wrong way. I think it was 1 sided. I think it didn't give a fair overall view of what actually is going on or how it got started. I really was offended at how that happened. **Shane-** I didn't mean to offend anyone. It was just questions that need to be answered so we could stop ...

Jim Bulin- The str issue is being taken up by larger authority than what's going on here. If you watch the news, what's going on in St. Joe county. They are dealing with this problem and think it ought to be looked at. It's on local and national news. It's a problem across the whole country. Not a problem, a discussion. Are we wasting PPA time and money dealing with it right now? **Shane-** I don't think so. In interest of the PPA and every Member, it needs to be addressed, resolved, one way or the other. It's our obligation to provide that resolve to the Membership. This is what it looks like. Me stepping out of my bounds and asking questions about str. I think it was the right thing to do. In my view it stemmed us from going back and having the same conversation looking for a different option to do exactly what we should be doing at this time. **Linda-** My point is, if I had been the person in the office, I would have come at it from a whole different point of view and a whole different way of presenting what I thought should have been said and how I want him to have an overall understanding of the whole picture. To me it didn't seem fair that you could just go in there and throw out the things you did the way you did it. I think it provided a slanted opinion. **Pat-** Point taken.

**Shane-** What do you think? Jody Clay D1- I'll do it. **Shane-** Like I said, it can get pretty ... We're missing 3 or 4 others so it can be ... **Pat-** According to our Bylaws the Board can appoint...

Jim Bulin- Is there a procedure if I wanted to run for an office? **Pat-** In June the President appoints a nominating committee. If someone is interested they can let the Nominating committee know. This year the President, Secretary and even numbered Districts are up. Vice President, Treasurer and odd numbered Districts in odd numbered years. You can contact your District Rep or just show up at the Annual meeting. They will ask if there if anyone from the floor is interested.

**\*\*Motion to appoint Jody Clay to be the District 1 Representative- Pat**

Second- **Sherry**

**Approved**

10. Directors Comments-

- A. **Pat-** at the last meeting Shannon presented plans for a Barndominium on Denzel Dr. It looks like they are going to have a kitchen on both floors so is it going to be a duplex? In District 5A
- B. **Linda-** In reference to 'Let the County decide', The new shed on Hiawatha. They requested a variance so they could set a 10' x 12' movable shed 3.3' from the road's right of way. Jim and Sally were ok, Sally said it would block her view of a mess. I thought 3.3' from Hiawatha when it's so narrow and already falling apart? **Jim-** The shed that was there was 3' but now the new shed is up against the house. It looks stupid. **Linda-** The BZA approved placing a shed at the property with the stipulation that it must be against his house and 9.3' from the road right of way because the BZA Board Members noted the proposed shed would be near a "blind curve" on Hiawatha Lane and expressed concern with that. **Pat-** My understanding was he was putting it where the old shed was. **Jim-** It's way bigger. There is a concrete hole where the old shed was and now it's still there. **Linda-** As far as str terminology, while I was looking for that information I came up with Indiana's definition of residency in several different places. I found where what the PPA attorney is saying is not really the case. I don't know where he is coming from claiming that if you stay 2 days at an str Indiana considers you as residing there. I'm not finding that. I think we need to ask him about it. **Dan-** You can always ask him for a citation.

Because MI does not, just so you know. There was a case with reside and they said it's where you get your mail. If the USPS knows that's where you get your mail, that's your residence. Some states say you are residing in that space. It's all in how the state interprets it. I don't know how Indiana interprets it. **Linda-** If you go to the [IN.gov](http://IN.gov) website and you put in residency it says general residency is established by registering to vote, enrolling a child in school, paying taxes or living in the state for 183 days. That's to be a resident in Indiana. **Shane-** We've got a lot of work on str. I don't think we need to ... **Linda-** Also the attorney's comment about trying to ban str using Covenant 3. That wasn't the purpose of that document. It actually says in the document, It does NOT authorize the Association to regulate private home occupancy or BAN str outright.

**Dan-** When the Cov 3 document was sent and the cover letter that was with it, are you saying that the intent was to use of the common property? The use of the Lake? versus the individual lot owner's land? **Linda-** Right. **Dan-** and his response was, this does not ban str. **Linda-** Yes, this won't work to ban str. We weren't trying to ban str. We were not trying to affect private property use. **Shane-** He said I understand what you are trying to do and it's not going to work, literally what he said. **Bernie-** The way I understood he cited the thing that said a renter while residing there has the right to use the lake. Not in those words. But that's basically what we've said. So in our documents we say that they've got the right now we turn around and say, no they don't. **Linda-** No. It's based on residency. **Bernie-** He's saying with that other Bylaw we put in that renter has that right. **Linda-** They have to RESIDE there. That's what I'm saying, it depends on that definition, on Indiana's definition of reside. I think he's off on that. **Shane-** We're going to have a lot more conversation on this and save it for another day. Those are good talking points and they are going to come up again.

- C. **Jim-** Hiawatha Lane, look at the road going up the hill. **Shane-** I did. Since you're the District Rep I'm not sure how the Board wants to handle it but Hursey had Brooks just do his parking lot. **Jim-** Do what to it? **Shane-** Black topped it. I'll shoot his contact info right now as we speak. Give me your phone number. You can work through the process of seeing what that stuff might cost and get some references. **Jim-** I got one last Fall to asphalt it from some fly by night company that was doing some locally. They wanted \$11,000.00. But now it's a big deal because these rains are eroding the road away. I'm not patching that road anymore. I've done that every year for 20 years. I'm not doing that. We got Jack Owens, I hit him every year to re-Duraberm what gets tore up and he's going to be doing that pretty soon. I don't know what you want to do with that road but it's beyond the scope of us individuals taking care of it. I'm not spending \$2,000 on somebody else's repairs. It's somebody else's property. **Shane-** That's PPA property? **Jim-** Hiawatha belongs to the PPA. **Pat-** Only a portion of Hiawatha belongs to the PPA. I don't know what that portion is. **Jim-** It stops at Nokomis Island. **Pat-** I just got the tax bills. There's only a spot of Hiawatha that the PPA pays taxes on. **Sherry-** Any idea of what that is? **Linda-** I have this recorded 1990 document that is signed by 5 of the property owners on Hiawatha where they agreed to do the maintenance for the road. **Jim-** The simple fact of the matter is, we are not fixing that. **Shane-** It's a hazard and obviously it needs to be looked at if it's part of the PPA property then ... **Linda-** Isn't there something that can be done District wise in the way of an assessment, that the Board can help facilitate? That way everybody shares equally. You don't have this some pay and some don't. **Sherry-** We also have to look at what we do own and what we are responsible for. **Jim-** That's the problem up there. We get 10 people to pay .... **Linda-** Marshall sends you a note. He talked to Phend & Brown about the cold mix prices. \$145.00 per ton for the QPR (easier to work with) and \$105 per ton for standard cold mix. **Shane-** That's to put it down? **Linda-** That's just material. **Jim-** I have no ability to do that. **Pat-** I can go back and see what we own but, personal opinion, I don't think that's going to solve the problem. I did try to look up the addresses of those people



and it wasn't the hill. I don't think. **Shane-** (\*)'s one of them. Right on the corner. **Jim-** I don't know any of these. **Bernie-** If they own a lot, they bought the responsibility too. **Pat-** I think, if PPA owns it ... **Bernie-** If PPA owns it then we need to take care of it. **Shane-** I think regardless, one way or another it's going to be solved. **Jim-** McCulloch is gonna come look at it just to see if he can put something in to keep it from washing. This rain was washing rocks this big down the hill. Somebody picked them up and put them back in. It's a mess. Last year Tim concreted a big hole and all of that is gone. **Shane-** I'll send you that information. Get the wheels turnin', I think Curt said he paid \$18,000, to do that whole parking lot, 3" thick. They prepped it all. Did the whole 9 yards. If you look, did the office up to the barns. I can't remember how many square feet it was. It's not that much, like a 2 car drive. **Jim-** 30' by 50'? I forget. **Pat-** Can you get estimates? That's where we have to start. **Linda-** What's left in the road budget after the snow plowing? **Jim-** has a quote from someone who was in the area. **Pat-** The last time Crystal was the Treasurer and she said you could set something up, an assessment, just for that district. **Pat-** It is doable. It's just that the people on those roads are going to have to know, come January, you are going to be assessed X number of dollars in addition to your dues.

- D. **Mark-** Do you want the 2016 Fish Study on the website or wait for the new one? **Bernie-** This is what we told people before. We are not going to post a lot of that stuff because it has sensitive info., pricing, etc. We don't want the general public to have access. That's what the Board decided years ago. If somebody wants a copy of that study go to their District Rep and request a digital copy. **Mark-** A lot of PPA Members would like access to weed studies and fish studies. They are innocuous enough if you just post the study portion. It's a group decision. When we get the '26 study done one way to solve your problem is we can post it to the administrative section, for the Board to have access.

**\*\*Motion to Adjourn- Pat**

Second- **Sherry**

**Adjourn- 11:50 AM**

**Next Meeting:** June 13, 2026, 8:15 AM PPA Building