

1. Call to Order ~ Shane 8:20 AM

2. Roll
- | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| President - Shane Wallace | Vice President- Dan Connell |
| Treasurer- Pat Ebetino | Secretary- Linda Minnick |
| District 1- Lynn Ballentine | District 5A- Marshall Minnick |
| District 2- Bernie Ebetino | District 6- Jim Whitehead |
| District 3- Anthony Serianni | District 7- Sherry Doherty ~ NP |
| District 4- Mark Laurent | District 8&9- Darby Miller |
| District 5- Steve Wilson | |

Guests

1. Paul Erst D6
2. Joanna Miller D9
3. Drew/Leslie Foster D4
4. Jeff Glon D3
5. Kathy Roman D1

3. Secretary's Report ~ **Linda**

- A. Review of November 9, 2025 Board Meeting Minutes

Motion to Accept November 2024 Minutes as written- **Mark

Second- **Dan**

Carried

4. Treasurer's Report ~ **Pat**

- A. November 30, 2024

Money Market-	\$ 25,732.99	
CD-	51,595.21	
Checking-	12,355.75	
Total Checking/Savings-	38,088.74	
Total Current Assets-	89,215.55	
Paid	HostGator/Website Host	\$ 130.51
	Insurance/Mount Vernon 1/4	298.20

- a. **Dan**- has taken a look at policy, perhaps need to look at worker's compensation? Will discuss with Pat bring back in March, Dam Manager? Officers bonded under liability

- B. December 31, 2024

Money Market-	\$ 25,777.04	
CD-	51,595.21	
Checking-	10,449.42	
Total Checking/Savings-	36,226.46	
Total Current Assets-	87,353.27	
Paid	Josh's Handyman Service/Snow Plowing	\$ 1,406.25
	Insurance/Mount Vernon Balance	334.80

- C. January 31, 2025

Money Market-	\$ 25,817.11
CD-	51,595.21
Checking-	26,666.96
Total Checking/Savings-	52,484.07
Total Current Assets-	153,053.38

- Paid Josh's Handyman Service/Snow Plowing \$ 1,406.25
- a. Switched to online Quickbooks (desktop version \$1,000 annual fee, didn't renew)
- b. Sent invoices from desktop version, January 6, 2025, good return so far
- c. **Mark**- desktop version backed up? **Pat**- yes, 2014 forward migrated to online **Mark**- curious if we change vendors in the future will we be able to access all transactions? **Pat**- will find out
- d. **Mark**- can move dues income to Money Market daily to gain interest **Pat**- will look into it **Lynn**- Money Market interest? **Pat**- 1.85 annual in Jan.

****Motion to Accept November 2024, December 2024 & January 2025 Treasurer's Report- Shane**

Second- **Marshall**

Carried

5. PPA Calendar- Set Officer's Pay

- A. **Pat**- President- \$2,000, Secretary- \$1,000, Treasurer- \$750 + \$150/month bookkeeping fee
 - a. **Mark**- his project list would involve increased responsibilities on Vice President so consider some compensation? **Bernie**- these projects can be given to the person who suggests them not necessarily a Board member **Shane**- none decided as of yet

****Motion to keep the same payscale- Marshall**

Second- **Mark**

Carried

6. Lake Maintenance- **Marshall**

- A. braced the Harvester cutting head, checked antifreeze
- B. finally cleared the north Pond Drain, good
- C. 2024 4th Quarter Dam Inspection complete, videos of seams 42" outlet pipe, some deterioration of seam mortar
- D. maintaining a 12" opening since 9" log removal Nov. 3, where will we want it for weed treatment in the Spring? Terry let me know not comfortable at 12" level thinks it should be 18" **Bernie**- Engineering firm suggests 1-2 logs out for winter **Shane**- we need to pick a level and stick with it **Marshall**- the Dam Manager is committed to being on call if an adjustment needs to be made
- E. Anyone contact Terry about returning the Onset level sensor? **Shane**- he said he had it **Marshall**- would be very helpful to have WiFi at the building for level sensor, water temps, rain data acquisition and camera surveillance, consider permanent access to the box, propose a pier for the Harvester east side of the ramp. **Linda**- jack system on the chemical boat for jacking logs out? **Paul**- it doesn't work, he is willing to show us their method
- F. **Marshall**- Did we get the final report from Donahoe? The map of SSW locations?
- F. **Shane**- didn't do the final weed survey, got too late in the season
- G. **Pat**- maintenance plan has a provision for \$950 quarterly payment, thinks Minnicks should be paid **Shane**- asks Marshall if the payment is adequate or overkill? **Marshall**- not overkill **Bernie**- not engineering recommendation Board decision, the State only requires biennial inspection for high hazard dams, he wants to cut quarterly inspections to biannual most of the information is cut and paste **Shane**- do you have an amount of time per task? **Linda**- you can see on the daily spreadsheet how much time was spent at each task
- H. **Anthony**- also recommends WiFi, real time continuous lake level data could inform a lot of the decisions we make, **Linda**- would also enable cameras **Pat**- is someone willing to come up with cost for equipment, install, service ect. bring to the Board? **Dan** will look into it

7. Old Business

- A. LAPSI- **Shane**- sent Anthony email, no LAPSI information has ever been sent to AWC (Jim Donahoe) to coordinate weed treatment, **Anthony**- multiple changing variables that affect management, one thing to lower Lake level with the hopes of better treatment outcomes but how would you know without proper monitoring of chemical concentrations? Continuous methodical monitoring is critical, the Board needs to decide what knowledge we need on a regular basis to help inform decisions on plant treatment and how much we are willing to spend. He paused LAPSI last year, the Board needs to decide **Bernie**- the Board doesn't have the background to make those decisions, if we use Donahoe he should be able to tell us what needs to be monitored, **Anthony**- to make money selling chemicals is not an impartial position, we tried to bring in another opinion and that was looked upon as undermining his position, not the intention **Bernie**- we have been using Fluridone for years, Clipper is cheaper it will do everything Fluridone would do, it's a contact effect opposed to Fluridone systemic action, don't need the 45 day contact period **Anthony**- need regular plant surveys so we know over time what plants we are dealing with, how the populations change **Shane**- it's about how much money you want to spend **Jim**- no plan from Donahoe for this year? No **Pat**- we had one last year, treat SSW 2X, do a survey (never happened) **Anthony**- never saw data on if Fluridone concentrations were met (2024) **Jim**- thinks we are wasting money on Fluridone **Lynn**- quick search shows Clipper is toxic to fish **Shane**- spoke with Donahoe (AWC) a month ago **Anthony**- skeptical about the few data points that were taken, critical to have regular plant surveys **Dan-Leif** (AC) sounds like he would work with us **Jim**- we stayed with AWC because he is local **Shane**- has been here for years **Marshall**- we need an early study to tell us what we are treating, Anthony has been saying for years that we need to follow up with accurate testing to prove that your monetary investment was worthwhile **Bernie**- different weeds grow at different times of the year, we need to monitor and maybe treat with different chemicals at different times. **Shane**- I can reach out to AWC for a plan **Anthony**- the last time we treated with other than Fluridone was a quick approval for copper based reagents for SSW to get ahead of the spread but no discussion on the effect of that or what to do going forward, how do you make an informed decision on what to do next without that data? **From the audience ??**- what is the goal of the Board? Keep the lake high and spend more on chemicals or keep it low and spend less? **Shane**- this is the discussion, a benchmark is needed, we are talking about 6-10" difference not feet **Paul Erst**- also retention time **From the audience ??**- are we operating at a deficit? No Why not keep the level high and treat as needed? **Anthony**- different plants are susceptible to different chemicals at different concentrations, it's a moving target **Mark**- you said Donahoe wanted our data? **Shane**- No, I asked him if our data would help him, **Mark**- I have the data in a spreadsheet, we tested for Fluridone in the past I don't have Lake levels or weather data to match up, **Linda**- we have that. **Mark**- we need to decide by the next meeting, we need to treat Curly Leaf earlier, we need to treat later for Elodea **Anthony**- we can't make that decision until we know what's in the Lake, number 1, **Shane**- right now there is ice on the Lake I need to know what to tell him so when it comes off we are ready, doesn't want to be blind sided by what's been done in the past, **Mark**- thinks spring treatment has to be based on last year's survey, SSW is later **Linda**- working with AC (Leif) when to do the spring survey was based on water temperature **Mark**- nobody committed to do basic testing this year, has an ask ad for volunteers for the PowWow, we have the equipment, Diane may be willing to train **Dan**- read Dan Gross sent suggestions for treatment, also contacted Anthony, **Anthony**- to treat Elodea takes 5 ppb over an extended period of time, which we never maintained
- a. **Shane**- do you want me to contact AWC (Donahoe)? **Anthony**- when was the last weed survey? AC (Leif) Spring of 2023? **Pat** thinks also late summer 2023 Donahoe did SSW but didn't report. **Shane**- will contact AWC (Donahoe) **Anthony**- ask him to confirm what

he did last year and what were the results. **Mark**- Board approve the plan at the March no later than April meeting **Anthony**- we have invited him to talk several times, every time disappointed with lack of data, we pay him to tailor his work to our needs not to give a generic talk, we need specific Papakeechee data, more technical information **Shane**- who will apply? **Linda**- AC (Leif) applied **Pat**- additional \$350 for application **Shane**- AWC (Donahoe) charges quite a bit more \$\$ to apply

B. PPA Documentation/ File Retrieval

- a. **Pat**- all documentation we have is at the building, maybe talking to Arlene with an explanation of the “system” will boost her confidence

C. Mowing Bids

- a. **Shane**- 1 guy resubmitted his bid with less weed whacking, misunderstood what Shane wanted

D. Shoreline Reconstruction & Seawall Approval Draft- **Shane**

- a. Wants it put on the PPA website so people can get an idea of what’s needed in order to approach the Board without discomfort or targeting for ease of install
- b. It is everybody’s right on the Association to want to maintain and keep their property, we can make it as simple as possible, make all common guidelines and rules so we don’t have to do a bunch of legwork and ask a bunch of questions, that’s all we need
- c. This is a draft we can add to or subtract so everybody is on the same page
- d. **Anthony**- has concerns about BMPs (best management practices) about seawalls for this type of Lake, this document reads as a free for all, anybody can do anything, if that’s what you want fine but then we are going to get a very heterogeneous treatment of this problem on the Lake which aesthetically bothers me, but not my decision also that the individuals who want to put a barrier like this up (concrete construction) really understand the impact of it long term, Papakeechee is not a lake where we have serious wave action that erodes the shoreline, do we want to be a little more guiding here as opposed to anybody just willy nilly putting in any type of seawall they want? If not I wouldn’t sign onto that. **Shane**- I think they should have whatever they want, I like rock walls but long term maintenance, elderly can’t maintain, I don’t think it’s willy nilly or to give everybody free permission but to make review a simpler process so we don’t drag them out for 90 days or jump through hoops, it’s a common benchmark to work from
- e. **Bernie**- there may be a better type to suggest

E. 2025 Goals

- a. **Shane**- too much stuff that will never get done (referencing Mark’s Project List) **Pat**- there is a difference between the 2025 Goals and Mark’s Project List **Mark**- ‘redrafted’ last years goals **Pat**- those are not the approved 2024 goals, there were 12, your list has 17 **Mark**- this may be different because I played with it a little bit so we should approve that, the projects list was to try to establish priorities (what to focus on) and assign to a project manager to keep things moving forward (who is responsible to keep that task on target) he will resend electronically, be prepared to discuss at March meeting? **Pat**- do you realize what is on the calendar for March? **Shane**- too much stuff, we need to get to the important things in a timely manner **Mark**- this could save meeting time, the project manager would bring a quick summary **Bernie**- tear down the list first **Shane**- these could be Association member projects, not the Board, there are plenty of people that will volunteer

8. Guest Comments

- 1.) **Paul Erst D6**- You guys sure waste a lot of my time. **Shane***- I appreciate that **Paul**- You don’t get sh*t done, I’ve been to a lot of these meetings, nothing is getting done, nothing at all. I have

no idea what's going on with STR. I don't know if I live on a private Lake or a semi-private Lake or a public Lake. I've been coming to these meetings and I don't know. You guys don't know so WTH? Let's get something done. *Shane**- I think we're working on it **Paul**- You've been working on it for years *Shane**- I been here 6 months. I've got more done in 6 months then you've done in 4 years of bi*tchin' **Paul**- Who's been bi*tchin'? *Shane**- You have. I'm trying to work with everybody and we have you **Paul**- tell me, Do we live on a private Lake? *Bernie**- the Lake is Private. We own the Lake. It doesn't matter how we manage it. It will always be Private. *Shane**- You get my blood boilin', try to have some common sense and decency. I've been decent to you for 20 years **Paul**- You haven't been decent to me for anything *Shane**- that's another blatant lie **Paul**- You haven't even talked to me except for the last couple of years *Shane**- I'm movin' on past this conversation, if you don't have anything constructive to say. **Paul**- I want to know if I am paying to live on a private lake? *Shane**- we all are paying to live on a private lake **Paul**- it hasn't been private here in the last couple of years *Shane**- well keep your nose out of other people's business and it will be private **Paul**- keep your unsupervised guests off of my private lake, you have unsupervised people staying in houses that you have on the lake, I don't care what you do in those houses, you can have a whore house if you want just keep your whores off of our lake *Shane**- so anybody that has a rental on the lake they can't be on the lake is what you're saying **Paul**- not if it's a motel *Shane**- who's runnin' motels on Papakeechee? **Paul**- I think you are *Shane**- I'm not runnin' a motel, you tell me where the State of Indiana says a short term rental is a motel, is that in the state's definition? **Paul**- you are renting rooms to who knows who *Shane**- they been rentin' rooms for 70 years on the lake, to who knows who, they still do. I don't see you beating down their door, half of 'em got the roof fallin' in, but you are over here harassing everyone who is spending \$200,000 to make their back yard look nice, I'm movin' on from the conversation *Dan**- the point of this session is to allow the residents to communicate to us their concerns and their ideas, I'm not finding fault in anybody but what I'm hearing is generally there is frustration in that there is a topic that has been continually kicked down the road which I don't believe we are making progress, I'm a little shocked it wasn't on today's agenda considering the time and energy we spent on it at the last meeting, the fact that it was absent I find concerning. We've got to make a call guys ??- wasn't getting Shane elected a movement? *Shane**- I'm gonna shorten this up, I don't want to be here any longer than I have to. I am working on somethin' for everybody, I don't care if you don't think I am biased or bipartisan or not I don't care, I'm working on somethin' and I'm gonna present it to everybody and you guys can do whatever you want with it, You'll have my stance and by God it'll be bipartisan and if you don't like it that's on you not on me 'cause I tried and I did what I said I was gonna do. Everybody understood? I'm workin' on it. I don't care if you don't like me. I don't care if you don't like my rental. I don't care. But I'm gonna do what I said I'm gonna do and it's gonna be bipartisan 'cause we're gonna do somethin'. That's what I'm gonna say. And it's not something we can do overnight. That's just the facts. **Paul**- You've been working on it for years *Shane**- I haven't been working on it for years **Paul**- the Board has *Shane**- I don't care what the Board has done in the past **Paul**- oh you don't *Shane**- No, because it doesn't make a difference going forward and it doesn't help the situation right now. Now does it? There's been rentals on this lake for 70- years hell, the Waterson's, the Bait & Tackle all commercial properties running renting off Papakeechee property, how 'bout that? How 'bout the motel in the '50's that was up on Circle Drive? That's still there. That was a motel. I mean, come on, we're workin' here. Cut people some slack, have some decency **Paul**- oh, now you're talking decency *Shane**- yeah, I am. I've had enough. I've tried to be decent. I've tried to work through the fence. I hate what happened between Chris and Lani and that whole situation. I treated them with decency for 20 years and they hate me because I have a short term rental. I'm not gonna stand by that. **Paul**- you've got no control over that *Shane**- I do too, it's my property. I control my

property. What happened between them is between them **Paul**- What does that have to do with **Shane***- because it's the same thing you're doing, you're treating me indecently when I have done nothing wrong to you, the same with them and that's the problem and I'm sick of it and I'm letting you know. Moving on **Paul**- ok, now we know where you stand **Shane***- Yeah, thank God, anybody in the back? Jeffery?

- 2.) **Jeff Glon** D3- I don't know how we can move forward if the Board is not disclosing all of the information from the attorneys to the President and other members. You can't move forward, you can't resolve the situation if they don't know the information and far as conflict of interest it's not a conflict of interest to own your property and have an opinion on it. I have an opinion. I don't have a short term rental. It's still private it will always be a private lake I have a conflict of interest the other way. ??- How can we move forward when we are all not on the same page with all of the same information? Is that correct or not. How do we solve it if you are hiding information from each other? **Shane***- it's a valid point ??- It's not a rhetorical question, answer it **Dan***- is this a discussion? **Jeff**- I'm asking a question, How do we move forward if you are not disclosing all of the information to the people who are dually elected to hold their positions? **Dan***- at the last meeting I presented a motion that we present to the Association as a whole to make the decision whether or not they want it and what was provided to us was a basically clear a yes or no, we brought the motion, I don't know why it couldn't get a second and then the motion was rescinded. To answer your question, it's not hiding. The issue is that there are fiduciary duties that Board members have. If you have a personal invested interest in the outcome of a decision, one of those being economical, if I stand to gain from it typically that Board member will recuse themselves from that conversation and not vote. Because a couple of reasons, one is you don't want to give the appearance to the Association that the Board is somehow being shady, it's called, the appearance of impropriety. You don't have to be shady but if it looks like it could be then that's a problem because now the credibility of the Board is challenged, now there is chatter and they don't trust them anymore, so it's not hiding what it's doing is trying to get it so that it's clear that those who are making the decisions are as neutral and objective as possible, but if I stand to gain from this, do I have a right to vote on it? How do I splinter that? How do I make myself a Board member and have an economical invested interest? You can't, that's why you recuse yourself **Jeff**- You have the same to gain as I **Dan***- I apologize, I allowed you to finish, I'm asking you to do the same for me, please don't talk over me. That is my answer to your question **Jeff**- My question is, How do you not gain also by not having STR? **Dan***- How do I not gain by not having STR? **Jeff**- Yes, by not allowing STR, you also gain, you have a conflict of interest, you gain what you are setting out to achieve, you gain more than a .. maybe not monetarily but you achieve a goal, you have a conflict of interest as well **Dan***- but I don't have a personal invested gain from it **Jeff**- sure you do, now you are stripping the rights from other people **Dan***- I'm not stripping **Jeff**- it's their private property you are trying to take them away, **Dan***- I personally do not do anything, the Board has an obligation to interpret the rules and the bylaws as written and to do what's in the best interest of the Association. I didn't raise this discussion, I think you are making me the symbol and I think that is incorrect, this predates me by years. There were annual meetings where people were very expressive and very vocal that they had concerns about what was occurring on the Lake and those voices were responded to by the Board by a letter that went out in Feb '24 because that's what was communicated. The Board hasn't changed it's position and let's be frank, let's be fair, it's being discussed so to think that I'm coming in to push upon everybody my will is not proper. I am one vote among many. What I raise is a fact. If you stand to gain money you step back. ??- NonSTR stand to gain money as well **Dan***- nonSTR stand to gain money? ??- have you ever told people they can't rent their property, ever? ??- people who rent their property long term make money every month **Shane***- there are endless ways to make profit from the Association,

the thing is not everybody in the Association has all the valid information, not everybody is completely informed, you're informed only on what you want to be informed of and that was my problem with the previous administration, you didn't get all the information, you got what you wanted to hear or didn't want to hear **Jeff**- that's my point *Shane**- we can't make an informed decision if not everybody's informed regardless of what it is, we all paid for that, anything that this Association does is everybody's money, It's not the Board's money, it's not the Board's control *Linda**- are you splitting your profits from STR with everyone on the Lake? *Shane**- why would I need to do that? **Paul**- you're profiting off our Lake ??- no way *Shane**- I'm profiting off my property ??- you got paid to do this **Paul**- who gives a crap about your property? you are profiting off our Lake one foot all the way around is ours not yours

- 3.) **Drew Foster D4**- so are long term rentals? What do you say to people in the winter? Are they using the Lake? Is anybody out in a boat using the Lake? *Shane**- anyway everybody needs to be informed completely, to make an informed decision moving forward and we're gonna have to cross that path, there's no way around it, we're gonna get there, we're gonna have all the information and make the best decision for everybody not for your view or anybody else's view it's for the whole of the Lake, that's what it's gonna boil down to *Anthony**- I understand your frustration on this problem. I've struggled with it myself. As a Board member what matters to me is what's written in our rules and regulations on this Lake, what matters to me is and I think that's why I was voted to be a member here and that's up for grabs, I can be replaced, fine, my job as long as I'm on the Board is to look at the current rules on the Lake (ABCs) and to do what I think is best in terms of interpreting what's there. I gain nothing financially one way or the other, I look at that information and I use my judgement to evaluate what's written and how it plays into this whole STR thing. That's what the Board's job is, to look at the rules and interpret them. People are going to have different views on what that interpretation is and they're entitled to but each of us our job on the Board is to look at that information and interpret it in light of this problem **Jeff**- Interpret to overturn 70 years of precedence, that's been going on forever and ever? Now you decide to interpret the rules differently *Anthony**- I hear you, those rules were written 100 years ago, seriously, a century, there wasn't an STR option 100 years ago, the world changes, you can bi*ch about **Jeff**- it's words *Anthony**- I don't think anybody is quibbling about, in my opinion ??- there was a bylaw that said you couldn't rent to anybody that wasn't of the caucasian race whatever it is that you guys keep talking about existed in that same space at the same time so there was a distinction between renting to people and profiting off the Lake *Linda**- and who you could rent to ??- they both existed *Linda**- it was a rule for a rental *Dan**- it's gone now ??- if you look at what the intention was the people that wrote those had a distinction between renting and profiting, they were different in their minds, just like you guys, you're allowing long term rentals why not STR? They're both profiting in some way *Anthony**- they're different in impact ??- in your opinion, what you are saying is you can't profit off the Lake, ok, nobody can have a home office, nobody can have a business ran out of their home, now everyone has no rights *Shane**- there's going to be common ground or it's not, this is me spearheading this thing for everybody *Dan**- I tried a vote, my thought was perhaps if we presented an amendment to the Covenant and let the Association vote maybe we could get past it and be done with it and move on, we didn't get that, you weren't here, you didn't know but that was a possibility the way I see it there is a couple things, as a Board we have to decide if the ABCs as written do not allow and if not so then they should take the next step and enforce it then I presume there will be push back and a lawsuit as to how it's interpreted which means we will be in litigation for a couple of years which means money will be spent and the Association generally will be pissed or we bring it to an amendment and let the body decide yes or no and then go from there, this has been as long as I've been here the most heated and emotional topic in my short 4 years on the Lake but until we finally decide and live with it we're

going to sit here and getted pissed off at each other all the time, I don't know many of you at a personal level, I don't dislike you personally I don't dislike anybody in the room, I feel bad that we're getting mad at each other, we gotta make a call and live with it, until we make a decision we are just gonna skowl at each other and I hate it *Bernie**- even if we make a call there are gonna be problems *Linda**- the Board already made the call **Jeff**- this is the way it's been for 70 years nothings changed other than people that who don't like it are more vocal *Shane**- there is room for change and there is room for everybody, you're going to have people who hell or high water take it to their grave and you can't fix those people and that's just the way it's gonna be, you can't agree with everybody and we all need to come to terms with that *Marshall**- had it been controlled, a few people who were out of control, we would have never been having this conversation, if you own an STR you have competition out there that's making the whole thing look really bad and that's why we're here

- 4.) **Kathy Roman** D1- ?? came and said this is what our attorney is saying, this is what we came up with how about we regulate doing this how about no party's, regulate in some way and it was completely dismissed and then it was moved onto and then it became a mess and then people put signs up in their yards there are still signs *Shane**- just bear with me is all I ask, I made it this far, give me some credit and let me see what I can do for everybody to review, that's all we have to work with, if you don't like it Linda I don't care I'm trying, I can't please you that's great *Linda**- I didn't say a thing *Shane**- you're giving me the look and I know the look, I'm tryin' that's all I'm doin' *Linda**- the Board already made a decision *Shane* *Shane**- I understand the Board already made a decision *Linda**- what if we did this? We can't just throw a yes or no vote out there *Shane**- I'm working on it *Linda**- what if we said (*Shane**- loud sigh), everytime I talk you do that, it's not a look it's a sigh *Shane**- I know what you mean, I agree, give me a little bit of time and let me present something and we move forward one way or the other, regardless of what anybody wants to say we are making progress whether you see it or not, it's in my head that I'm making progress and we're gonna do somethin' and not everybody is going to like it and that's just the facts, anyone else have something to say? Kat? **Kathy**- to piggyback off what Jeff said, informed decisions, we hear that a lot, until Shane as the voted President, who was elected, has all the information which currently he does not, like everything, am I wrong? *Shane**- no I haven't got any information **Kathy**- therefore you can't be expected to without correspondence, not just what some people are choosing to show, you've gotten multiple options from the attorney regardless if Shane doesn't have all that information there's no way you should be expected to make an informed decision because he doesn't have all the information, it's called a peaceful transfer of power which never happened *Dan**- I'm curious how you know there were multiple options provided *Pat**- just so you know I agree, Shane your input is valuable here, but just as any Board member not just the President can come up with a recommendation that needs to come back to the Board and the Board reviews and the Board makes a decision so I'm sorry and I know you believe this, it isn't going to be totally your decision *Shane**- absolutely *Pat**- that's not how she was speaking, I want to make sure everybody understands that it's the entire Board **Kathy**- I'm glad you said that, therefore there should be no exclusions no issues or concerns with giving him everything that you guys know and that has not been done
- 5.) **Leslie Foster** D4- were the Association dues used to pay this lawyer? *Dan**- yes **Leslie**- there you go **Kathy**- so if one person which we all know that not just one person has the right to make this decision for us therefore there should be no issues with you trusting the elected President which is the highest position on this Board to have all the information so he can actually work with you which is the first thing to do which you're not giving him **Jeff**- it's a coup *Dan**- oh come on, here is **Kathy**- the fact remains you have not given it to him *Dan**- we talked about this, a letter was circulated, not generally, amongst the Association but targeted to very specific people

that the Board had no knowledge of, where the Board was accused of a crime, taking something away from others, we in turn got a lawyer to address that letter, as far as I know Shane was part of the group that was involved with that circulation, now you're asking us to give the legal opinion of a lawyer that was retained to see how we address a letter to which he was a party of, Do we not see a conflict? You don't see a problem? **Kathy**- is he the elected President, period, end of story **Dan***- it doesn't matter **Kathy**- it does too matter **Dan***- show me where, then what's the point of the fiduciary duty of the Board and the duty of loyalty? **Kathy**- he also has that, that he took when he took the position **Dan***- which is why a Board member recuses themselves over a topic where they have a conflict

- 6.) **Joan Miller** D9- Who got paid to mow last year? Terry. Who got paid to inspect the Dam? **Dan***- How is that relevant? **Joan**- doesn't fiduciary responsibility **Dan***- no, it's not **Joan**- require them to recuse themselves when you're deciding who will **Dan***- no it doesn't **Joan**- do the mowing **Dan***- a fiduciary duty is something specific to a certain Board member or a doctor or a lawyer **Joan**- yeah **Dan***- they don't have a fiduciary duty **Pat***- we pay Terry's Maintenance Service we didn't **Joan**- how did you select Terry's Maintenance Service? **Pat***- he presented an estimate to the Board and the Board voted **Joan**- but what other bids were presented at that time? It's the same thing **Dan***- No, it's not, no **Anthony***- I think your logic is faulty **Joan**- I think your logic is faulty **Shane***- so anyway, we got off topic and we still have a lot to do for March let's stay on task, we are going to adjourn, we need to focus on March we still have a lot to do March and weeds are very important to everybody in the Association and we need to fulfill that duty, anybody else have anything they want to add? Lynn?

*Name**- Board member on Guest comments

9. Board Comments

- 1.) **Lynn**- I listen I take it in and some of the stuff isn't even worth going there a lot of the stuff is important, the weeds, the Lake, ect. STR is important but we can't solve world problems in 1 day, I have nothing to add that hasn't already been said, rehash and rehash and rehash, things don't get done because we go in circles
- 2.) **Linda**- the new gate at the building is connected to a rope laying on a barrel, the space from the new post to the old existing post could be filled with another matching 16' gate, I measured it **Shane**- what are we gating that off for **Pat**- to deter, but we left a big opening there **Shane**- I have reserves for some of the elderly people because that gate is heavy **Pat**- you could just fence it, **Shane**- we might not want to restrict ourselves from getting through there, take a look at it

Motion to Adjourn- **Marshall

Second- **Pat**

Carried- 10:57 AM

Next Meeting: April, 12, 2025 ~ 8:15 AM
Professional Learning Center ~ 801 S. Sycamore St., Syracuse
behind the Casey's Station, south building when entering the first parking lot